

The Metamorphosis of Contemporary Work: Time and Space Dimensions of an Eternal Re-birth

January, 27th 2023, Grenoble (UGA, IAE)1

Co-chairs

Sabine Carton (Université Grenoble Alpes)	Nada Endrissat (Bern University of Applied Science)	Jenny Helin (Uppsala University)
François-Xavier de Vaujany (Université Paris Dauphine- PSL)	Laurent Taskin (Université Catholique de Louvain)	Varda Wasserman (Open University)

Contemporary work keeps departing from the traditional time-space unity of the Taylorian Age. Working everyday at the same place, during the same time, seems to be (for many but not all activities) part of an old world. The heavily emplaced, highly routinized experience of industrial ventures looks already like history in many managerial discourses and practices.

Recently, the visibility of the climate crisis, the covid pandemic and the return to a cold war climate have accelerated (or revealed further) radical changes. Remote work is more legitimate than ever. Digital nomadism is a strange dream shared (and aesthetized) by part of

¹ Please note that a pre-event will take place on January 26th including a workshop and RGCS general assembly.

the new generation. Mobility at work and working in non-placed, evolving, shared environment is becoming the rule. After a long period spent working in a shared space (to foster a sense of community and on-site serendipity), developing a sense of co-presence or a shared present in a decentered, distributed world of work, seems to be the new managerial stake. Work experiences a de-spatialization (Taskin and Bridoux, 2010) and the very kind of present and modes of relationship to our present seem to change more or less radically (de Vaujany, 2022a and b). Concepts such as "chronotope" (Lorino and Tricard, 2021), "presence/co-presence" (Bancou, 2021), "organizational presence" (Crevani, 2019), "resistance to spatial change" (Taskin, Courpasson and Donis, 2022), "atmosphere" (de Vaujany et al, 2021; Bernhardt, 2021; Endrissat and Leclercq-Vandelannoitte, 2022), "workscapes" (Brakel-Ahmed, Cnossen and Schlegelmilch, 2020), "open innovation" and "open innovation process" (Haefliger, Von Krogh and Spaeth, 2008), Fabbri and Charrue-Duboc, 2016), "organizational aesthetics" (Wasserman and Frenkel, 2011), "vertical writing" (Helin, 2020), "posthuman practices" (Gherardi, 2016), among others, could be very helpful to explore empirically these (new) temporo-spatial issues and the relationships between time and space dimensions of new ways of working and organizing.

But what do ethnographic research, case studies, surveys, active research already show on the becoming of work and life? What is going on in the world? What are the differentiations happening in our present? What are the differences in the multiple societal metamorphosis taking place in the world? Are there Western-non-Western differences? Small versus big cities differences? Urban versus non-urban areas trends? High intensities versus low intensities divides? What are the productive differences happening in the becoming of contemporary work and life?

Those are the kind of contributions and discussions we expect for this 7th RGCS Symposium around remote work, mobile work, commons and commonalization at work, crisis and work, Anthropocene and work, Anthropocene and management, new ways of living and their timespace, new ways of managing work and non-work, digital nomads, the time and space of the leisure class, metaverse and new utopia of work, new forms of entrepreneurship, coworking metamorphosis, the new time-spaces of the maker movement, the role of labs in work transformations, among others. Empirical contributions from multiple fields are welcome (organization studies, urban geography, sociology of work, anthropology of work and organizations, psychology, economic geography, architecture, urban planning...). Both academics and practitioners (consultants, artists, activists, entrepreneurs...) are invited to submit abstracts.

More philosophical contributions (in conversation with empirical trends) are also welcome. Time and space are old topics in the philosophical literature and their inseparability in the becoming of our world has already been stressed by many philosophers (Bergson, Alexander, Whitehead, Barad, Deleuze...) and in continuation to them, the organization studies literature (Chia, 1999, 2002; Calori, 2003; Jones, McLean and Quattrone, 2004). The happening of the world keeps emplacing and spacing, and virtualities of work and life (the *Spatium*) are always in conversation with their actualities (de Vaujany, 2022a). Obviously, digitality has also strongly contributed to the deep change in the time-space relationships (see Sahay, 1997; Sarker and Sahay, 2004; Zheng and Wu, 2022) and with it, philosophy itself has experienced a renewal.

Various ontological stances or metaphysics are available to explore the time-space of new ways of working, organizing and managing. Spinoza, Leibniz, Nietzsche, Bakhtin, Arendt, Heidegger, Bergson, Whitehead, Merleau-Ponty, Foucault, Deleuze, Cixous, Braidotti, Sloterdijk, etc. are likely to offer more or less different perspectives ranging from phenomenological metaphysics, existentialism, process metaphysics, posthumanism, dialogism, post-Marxism, etc. All these philosophies are welcome!

Extended abstracts of around 1,000 words need to be submitted at <u>collaborativespaces@gmail.com</u> by **December, 1rst at 8 PM CET**. Notification of acceptance or reject will be sent by December, 16th.

Our event will take place this year in Grenoble (at IAE de Grenoble) on **January 27th 2023**. For any questions, do not hesitate to contact us.

Looking forward to meeting you very soon!

The co-chairs of RGCS Symposium 2023

NB: A special issue of the Journal of Openness, Commons & Organizing (JOCO) will be edited after our symposium. We will also work on a specific edited book.

References

Aroles, J., Mitev, N., & de Vaujany, F. X. (2019). Mapping themes in the study of new work practices. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, 34(3), 285-299.

Bancou, L. (2021). Co-presence in hybrid work: exploring the new modalities of co-presence through processual phenomenology, *EGOS 2021*, sub-theme 60, July 2021.

Bernhardt, A. (2021). Theoretische Bezugskonzepte: Raum, Atmosphäre und Gemeinschaft. In Coworking-Atmosphären (pp. 53-104). Springer VS, Wiesbaden.

Brakel-Ahmed, F., Cnossen, B., & Schlegelmilch, J. (2020). An investigation of collective workspaces. Collaborative Spaces at Work: Innovation, Creativity and Relations.

Calori, R. (2003). Essai: Real Time/Real Space Research: Connecting Action and Reflection in Organization Studies. *Metamorphosis*, 2(1-2), 13-20.

Carton, S., Corbett-Etchevers, I., Farastier, A., & Fine-Falcy, S. (2021). Diversity Of Perception Of The Dynamics Between Collective Identity And Innovation In Communities Of Practice. International Journal of Innovation Management, 25(05), 2150057.

Chia, R. (1999). A 'rhizomic' model of organizational change and transformation: Perspective from a metaphysics of change. *British journal of management*, 10(3), 209-227.

Chia, R. (2002). Philosophy and research. Essential skills for management research, 1-19.

Crevani, L. (2019). Organizational presence and place: Sociomaterial place work in the Swedish outdoor industry. Management Learning, 50(4), 389-408.

Czarniawska, B. (2004). On time, space, and action nets. Organization, 11(6), 773-791.

de Vaujany, F. X. (2022a). Imagining the name of the rose with Deleuze: organizational and self world-making on the screen. Culture and Organization, 1-21.

de Vaujany, FX. (2022b). From phenomenology to a metaphysics of history: the unfinished odyssey of Merleau-Ponty, in de Vaujany, FX. Aroles, J. and Pérezts, M. (Eds) The Oxford Handbook of Phenomenologies and Organization Studies, London: Oxford University Press.

de Vaujany, F. X., Dandoy, A., Grandazzi, A., & Faure, S. (2019). Experiencing a new place as an atmosphere: A focus on tours of collaborative spaces. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 35(2), 101030.

Endrissat, N., & Leclercq-Vandelannoitte, A. (2021). From sites to vibes: Technology and the spatial production of coworking spaces. *Information and Organization*, 31(4), 100353.

Fabbri, J., & Charue-Duboc, F. (2016). Les espaces de coworking-Nouveaux intermédiaires d'innovation ouverte?. Revue française de gestion, 42(254), 163-180.

Gherardi, S. (2016). Sociomateriality in posthuman practice theory. In The nexus of practices (pp. 50-63). Routledge.

Haefliger, S., Von Krogh, G., & Spaeth, S. (2008). Code reuse in open source software. Management science, 54(1), 180-193.

Helin, J. (2020). Temporality lost: A feminist invitation to vertical writing that shakes the ground. Organization, 1350508420956322.

Hydle, K. M. (2015). Temporal and spatial dimensions of strategizing. *Organization Studies*, 36(5), 643-663.

Jones, G., McLean, C., & Quattrone, P. (2004). Spacing and timing. Organization, 11(6), 723-741.

Lorino, P., & Tricard, B. (2012). The Bakhtinian theory of chronotope (time-space frame) applied to the organizing process. Perspectives on process organization studies, 2, 201-34.

Sahay, S. (1997). Implementation of information technology: a time-space perspective. Organization studies, 18(2), 229-260.

Sarker, S., & Sahay, S. (2004). Implications of space and time for distributed work: an interpretive study of US–Norwegian systems development teams. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 13(1), 3-20.

Taskin, L., & Bridoux, F. (2010). Telework: Despatialisation as a challenge to knowledge creation and transfer. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 21(3), 2503.

Taskin, L., Courpasson, D., & Donis, C. (2022). Objectal resistance: The political role of personal objects in workers' resistance to spatial change. Human Relations, 00187267211067142.

Wasserman, V., & Frenkel, M. (2011). Organizational aesthetics: Caught between identity regulation and culture jamming. Organization Science, 22(2), 503-521.

Zheng, Y., & Wu, P. F. (2022). Producing speed on demand: Reconfiguration of space and time in food delivery platform work. Information Systems Journal. Forthcoming.